

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT SEATTLE

9 BILL WALKER,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 MEMBERS OF CONGRESS OF THE UNITED  
13 STATES, et al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C04-1977RSM

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

15  
16 On October 8, 2004, the Court ordered plaintiff to show cause on or before October 22, 2004,  
17 why this action should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Plaintiff timely responded to that Order,  
18 but his response wholly fails to cure the defects identified in the complaint. Moreover, his cause of  
19 action, although aimed at new defendants, duplicates an earlier case which this Court dismissed with  
20 prejudice. Walker v. United States, C00-2125C. The Court there stated that “It is unambiguously clear  
21 that the Court does not have subject matter jurisdiction in this case due to the fact that Plaintiff does not  
22 have standing to bring this suit and his complaint raises political questions that are more properly the  
23 province of Congress.” C00-2125C, Dkt. # 36 (citing Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 504 U.S. 555  
24 (1992) and other cases).

25 Accordingly, this action is hereby DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction. The thirteen motions  
26 filed by plaintiff, asking the Court to refer various matters to the United States Attorney General for  
27 prosecution, are all STRICKEN.

28 ORDER OF DISMISSAL - 1

1 The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.

2  
3  
4 DATED this 12 day of November, 2004.

5  
6  
7 /S/ Ricardo S. Martinez  
8 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE